

# VU Research Portal

## Tectonic shifts of European integration

Lo Bianco, S.

2015

### **document version**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

### **citation for published version (APA)**

Lo Bianco, S. (2015). *Tectonic shifts of European integration: Identifying Deliberation and Change in the Everyday Practice of Decision Making in EU's Justice and Home Affairs*. [PhD-Thesis – Research external, graduation internal, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam].

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### **E-mail address:**

[vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl](mailto:vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl)

---

## Contents

List of Tables and Figures	ix
List of Abbreviations	x
Preface and Acknowledgements	xi
1. Introduction: origins of change in EU policies	1
1.1 Shaping of EU policy at everyday level · 1 – 1.2 Background: the shaping of justice and home affairs in 1999-2009 · 3 – 1.3 Research aims, questions, hypothesis, and relevance · 8 – 1.4 Organization of the study · 13.	
2. Theories on change in European integration	15
2.1 Introduction · 15 – 2.2 The rational choice approaches and theorizing change · 16 – 2.3 The immaterial factors of change · 24 – 2.3.1 Capturing deliberative discourse · 30 – 2.3.2 Conditions of daily deliberative practice in the EU · 41 – 2.4 Conclusion · 46.	
3. Research design and methods	49
3.1 Introduction · 49 – 3.2 Design · 50 – 3.2.1 Identifying causal pathways · 52 – 3.2.2 Explaining causal pathways · 54 – 3.3 Scope of explanation · 63 – 3.4 Operationalizing deliberation · 70 – 3.5 Operationalizing the conditions facilitating deliberation · 74 – 3.6 Collecting data · 77 – 3.7 Analysing and assessing data · 81 – 3.8 Organization of empirical chapters · 85.	
4. Negotiating the European Evidence Warrant	87
4.1 Introduction · 87 – 4.2 The unfolding of the EEW process · 91 – 4.2.1 The timeline of the EEW process · 92 – 4.2.2 Identifying issues, frequencies and changes	

## *Contents*

in the EEW process · 94 – 4.3 Identifying the nature of discussion in the EEW process · 103 – 4.3.1 Institutional setting and the role of the Presidency · 104 – 4.3.2 The formal and informal settings of discussion · 109 – 4.3.3 Involvement of delegations during discussion · 110 – 4.3.4 General nature of EEW discussions in the Council · 112 – 4.3.5 Discussions in Copen · 114 – 4.3.6 Discussions in CATS · 120 – 4.3.7 Discussions in the JHA Counsellors' Group · 122 – 4.3.8 Discussions in the Coreper · 133 – 4.3.9 Discussions in the JHA Council · 137 – 4.4 Conclusion · 140.	
5. Negotiating law enforcement access to the Visa Information System	145
5.1 Introduction · 145 – 5.2 The unfolding of the VIS-Access process · 150 – 5.2.1 The timeline of the VIS-Access process · 150 – 5.2.2 Identifying issues, frequencies and changes in the VIS-Access process · 155 – 5.3 Identifying the nature of discussion in the VIS-Access process · 167 – 5.3.1 The interinstitutional setting · 168 – 5.3.2 Discussions in the European Parliament · 182 – 5.3.3 Discussions in the Council · 191 – 5.3.4 Trialogue discussions · 204 – 5.4 Conclusion · 217.	
6. Negotiating criminal law measures for environmental protection	221
6.1 Introduction · 221 – 6.2 The unfolding of the ECD process · 225 – 6.2.1 The timeline of the ECD process · 225 – 6.2.2 Identifying issues, frequencies and changes in the ECD process · 229 – 6.3 Identifying the nature of discussion in the ECD process · 240 – 6.3.1 General nature of the ECD discussions · 241 – 6.3.2 The Commission's role in the ECD discussions · 248 – 6.3.3 ECD discussions in the Droipen · 256 – 6.3.4 ECD discussions at other levels in the Council · 270 – 6.3.5 ECD discussions in the European Parliament · 275 – 6.3.6 ECD discussions at the interinstitutional level · 280 – 6.3.7 Internal discussions on the trialogue outcome · 291 – 6.4 Conclusion · 293.	
7. Conclusion	297
7.1 Introduction · 297 – 7.2 Summary: purpose and design · 298 – 7.3 Deliberation mattered · 301 – 7.4 Opportunities for deliberative exchange · 303 – 7.4.1 The impact of institutional conditions · 305 – 7.4.2 The impact of conditions of social pattern · 307 – 7.4.3 Conditions of EU's densely institutionalized environment · 311 – 7.5 The	

## *Contents*

findings considered in a theoretical light · 312 – 7.6 Implications for the member states and the EU · 316 – 7.7 The tectonic shifts of European integration · 317.

Bibliography 321

Appendices 335

Appendix 1: Overview of data sources · 335 – Appendix 2: Coding agenda for interview transcripts · 348 – Appendix 3: Short chronology on the EEW decision-making process · 354 – Appendix 4: Short chronology of the VIS-Access decision-making process · 362 – Appendix 5: Short chronology of the ECD decision-making process · 370.

Index 378

Summary 383

Nederlandse samenvatting 398



---

**List of Tables and Figures**

Figure 3.1	Two-tier variation of factors	57
Table 3.1	Overview of the venues of discussion	60-64
Figure 3.2	Visualization of the Deliberative model	73
Table 3.2	Coding categories of deliberation and its conditions	83
Figure 4.1	Timeline of the EEW process	93
Table 4.1	Overview of discussion threads in the EEW process	95-99
Table 4.2	Appearance of issues at each Council level	100
Table 4.3	Overview of the discussions in the EEW process	101-102
Figure 5.1	Timeline of the VIS-Access process	152
Table 5.1	Overview of the discussions in the VIS-Access process	156-157
Table 5.2	Overview of discussion threads in the VIS-Access process	159-164
Figure 6.1	Timeline of the ECD process	227
Table 6.1	Overview of discussion threads in the ECD process	230-236
Table 6.2	Overview of the discussions in the ECD process	238-239
Figure 7.1	Expectations on prevalence of deliberation according to differentiation by pillar setting and decision-making level	300
Figure 7.2	Findings on prevalence of deliberation according to differentiation by pillar setting and decision-making level	304



---

## List of Abbreviations

AFSJ	Area of Freedom, Security And Justice
ALDE	Political Group of the Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe
CATS	<i>Comité de l'article trente-six</i> (Council Committee of senior officials coming from the national justice or interior ministries)
Copen	<i>Coopération Pénale</i> (Council Working Party on Cooperation in Criminal Matters)
Coreper	<i>Comité des Représentants Permanents</i> (Council Committee of Permanent Representatives)
DG	Directorate-General (of the Commission)
Droipen	<i>Droit Pénale</i> (Council Working Party on Substantive Criminal Law)
EAW	European Arrest Warrant
EC	European Community
ECD	Environmental Crime Directive
ECSC	European Coal and Steel Community
EDPS	European Data Protection Supervisor
EEC	European Economic Community
EEW	European Evidence Warrant
ENVI	Parliamentary Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety
EPP	European People's Party
EU	European Union
GUE/NGL	European United Left/Nordic Green Left
IGC	Intergovernmental Conference
JHA	Justice and Home Affairs
JURI	Parliamentary Committee on Legal Affairs
LIBE	Parliamentary Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs
MEP	Member of European Parliament
PES	Party of European Socialists
PCWP	Police Cooperation Working Party
QMV	Qualified Majority Voting
SCIFA	Strategic Committee on Immigration, Frontiers and Asylum
SIS	Schengen Information System
VIS	Visa Information System
VIS-Access	Law enforcement access to the Visa Information System